

One-Cut Forage Systems for Drought and Energy Considerations¹

Steve Fransen, Washington State University-Prosser

ABSTRACT

Timothy (*Phleum pratense*) is a highly productive cool-season grass grown mainly for hay in Washington State. When timothy is sold within either the domestic or export markets: high quality = high value. In good years this goal is a challenge to meet and in drought years it may be impossible. Timothy growers in the Kittitas Valley normally make 2 hay cuttings per season. Three cutting of timothy hay should be expected in the Columbia Basin. Little information exists to make recommendations on the best way to handle this crop under droughty conditions. This research was conducted during the 2005 drought year in the major timothy growing area of Kittitas Valley. The fundamental question asked involved timothy responses to zero or limited irrigation after first cutting. Two varieties of timothy were monitored for plant survival at two maturities and three drought treatments. Changes in plant dry weight and concentration of water-soluble carbohydrates in measured in timothy stubble and corms during the summer and fall of the drought.

INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND

Timothy growers often face a drought situation sometime during the life of a stand. Kittitas Valley timothy growers have earned the reputation of producing some of the highest quality timothy hay grown in the world. Much of their business is based on exports to the Pacific Rim. When potential export customers visit, the grower wants to show clean, weed-free, green and productive fields. During the latest drought in 2005 growers asked how to better manage timothy fields. Traditionally during a drought event irrigation water is available to produce the first hay cutting but not enough for a second. To meet the needs of exporters for bright green fields during the growing season, growers will irrigate once or twice, even though a full second cutting is not produced. Experiences reported from growers using this management leads to less productive and thinner stands during and after a drought. With the partial financial support of the Kittitas County Conservation District in Kittitas County, WA a field and laboratory study determined if applying limited irrigation water application after first cutting would change storage sugars in the timothy stubble and corms and any effects on the survival of new plants and tillers during and after the drought.

PROCEDURES

Four timothy fields from two commercial growers were selected for sampling during the 2005 drought in Kittitas County. The fields are: 1) seedling (fall seeded and less than 1 year old) Clair, 2) established (more than 3 years old) Clair, 3) seedling Richmond, and

¹Fransen is WSU Forage Agronomist at WSU-Prosser, Irrigated Agriculture Research and Extension Center, 24106 N. Bunn Road, Prosser, WA 99350. e-mail address is fransen@wsu.edu

4) established Richmond. Soil samples were collected from each field site for nutrient analysis. Drought management treatments imposed were: 1) dry, where no additional irrigation water was applied after first cutting hay, 2) 1X, where irrigation water was applied once after first cutting hay, and 3) 2X, where irrigation water was applied twice after first cutting hay. We collected four half-square meter quadrants from each field and drought treatment on July 1, August 1, August 29 and September 26, 2005. Additionally, we collected samples on February 9, 2006. Quadrant timothy samples were hand washed to remove any debris or dead plant material and then dried in forced air ovens at 150°F. Samples were weighed in and out of ovens then hand separated into stubble, corms and roots. Each component was oven dried again and reweighed. Components were ground through a 1-mm Wiley mill screen and we measured water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) using the procedure of Dubois et al. (1956). To determine timothy survival we transplanted 20 washed tillers per 8" greenhouse pot containing soil tested field soil from the WSU-Prosser research and extension center where they were grown. Each field and drought treatment at each collection date was replicated four times. Pots were watered as needed and 'harvested' (destroyed) within 60 days of transplanting. At harvest transplanted tillers that were alive and grew new leaves and roots were counted as surviving. Percent timothy survival was calculated as: % survivors = number tillers survive / number live tillers transplanted * 100. All data were analyzed using ANOVA with a randomized complete block design and four replications.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

VARIETY RESPONSES: Variety differences were found for corm dry weight and % survival but not for WSC. Stubble weight and sugar concentrations were not different between these varieties. Clair corms were heavier but produced fewer survivors during and after the drought than Richmond when averaged over maturities and collection dates. The advantage of heavier corms did not also translate into more stored sugars for Clair compared to Richmond. Thus, differences between Clair and Richmond for survival doesn't appear to be attributed to stored sugar concentration or dry weight of corms. More research needs to be conducted to determine the reason for these differences and differences among other timothy varieties for drought survival.

SEEDLING vs. ESTABLISHED STANDS: We found no differences in % survival of timothy when comparing seedling stands to established stands. However, timothy stubble and corms differed for dry weight and WSC when comparing maturities. Established stands weighed more and contained more sugars than seedling stands but this did not translate into an advantage for survival. Seedling stands are less than 18 months old and considered to be juvenile so they do not go through root shedding as do established stands. The seedling stands in this study were planted in the fall of 2004 so less than one year old when we started. The sugar concentrations we measured were adequate to produce a new timothy crop under the drought treatments we imposed.

DROUGHT TREATMENT RESPONSES: Drought treatments imposed were 'dry' where no irrigation water was applied after first cutting, '1X' where irrigation water was applied once and '2X' when irrigation water was applied twice after first cutting but no

enough water was applied to produce a 2nd cutting of timothy hay. Differences were found among drought treatments for % survival and sugar concentrations in stubble and corms. Significantly higher timothy survival resulted when plants went into full summer dormancy as a result of the dry treatment compared to either limited irrigation treatment. Sugar concentration in both stubble and corms was higher in dry than either 1X or 2X limited irrigation. Stored sugar is mobilized from both the stubble and corms after irrigation to produce new leaves, stems and harvestable hay yield. If the sugars are not replenished then expect lower % survival of timothy. In this case the 2X treatments never replenished the sugar supplies from either timothy stubble or corms resulting in lower survival. The 1X treatment was similar to the dry for carbohydrates but similar to 2X for survival. Sugar concentration in the stubble and corms is certainly a major reason for declines in timothy survival during and after a drought but there maybe other factors that also need to be further investigated.

TIMOTHY COLLECTION DATES: Collection dates, averaged over varieties, maturities and drought treatments, differed for survival, live timothy plant weight and sugar concentrations in stubble and corms. Survival of timothy plants started at 59.2% on July 1st then declined to 48.4% by August 1st, 56.9% on August 29th, 81.0% on September 26th and 78.1% on February 9th, the following late winter. Water-soluble carbohydrate concentrations in timothy stubble and corms also showed cyclic patterns over time. Stubble WSC was highest on July 1st then declined rapidly and rebuilt likely due to mobilization to start then storage after some leaf tissue had regrown. Corm WSC was highest at the end of the sample collection period in late September, likely due to storage needs for winter survival of delicate growing points. There appears to have been adequate sugar storage for some treatments as noted previously % survival of timothy plants in February was similar to September.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results from this study are based on only the 2005 crop production year. Funding was limited to only one year of work. Thus, the recommendations should be considered preliminary until more research is conducted. The study does reveal new data and information that could help western US timothy hay growers make decisions when facing another drought situation.

- Richmond timothy survived the drought better than Clair timothy. We found no differences in stored corm WSC between the varieties. Corm weight was higher for Clair than Richmond and this could be a function of stand age. It would be dangerous to speculate how other timothy varieties will respond to surviving a summers' drought event without further research.
- Seedling and established stands produced similar timothy survival over all treatments and dates. Seedling stands were lower in stubble and corm WSC but their concentrations were adequate to produce timothy growth comparable to longer-lived established stand.
- Keeping timothy plants dormant after first cutting is important for higher plant survival and maintaining high stubble and corms WSC concentrations. Even a

single irrigation that produces green timothy growth during the drought period will decrease sugars through remobilization of the storage in stubble and corms to new growing leaf tissues. Unfortunately, there is inadequate time or water for a second cutting with the 1 or 2X treatments resulting in increased timothy plant death.

- Timothy survival and sugar concentrations change over time. About August 1st we found the lowest survival and sugar concentrations in both stubble and corms. Sugar levels increased in the fall as did plant survival. We think this is due in part to changing photoperiod (shortening daylength) that naturally triggers root regeneration and new apical meristem development. Sustaining timothy survival over winter from late September is good news to growers who have properly managed the crop during the drought.
- Pests, such as the Billbug, can impact timothy plant growth. Even though we did not find differences in plant survival of established Richmond timothy we expect this stand to thin very rapidly. We only selected live timothy tillers and plants for this research but the damage from Billbug and other pests may reduce the total number of live plants per acre resulting in thinner, open stands that are not due to the drought.
- There are actions you can take during a drought to save timothy stands once the drought has ended. Our best advice is to not irrigate and keep off the fields except to control weeds. Weeds are likely to invade during the drought period when timothy is at its weakest point. Annual weeds will steal moisture and rapidly produce a seed crop. Perennial weeds will just increase in size and dominance. Be proactive, be patient, the dead-looking timothy is simply dormant and waiting for better conditions to produce hay again.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to give grateful thanks to Bill Lowe and Eric Ollgaard from Ellensburg, WA who allowed us to dig timothy plants from their fields at the different collection dates during the course of this study. We also wish to thank them and the Kittitas Reclamation District for making available irrigation water to these growers for application to plot land during July and August, 2005. Finally, many thanks to the Kittitas County Conservation District for their financial support of this research.

SELECTED LITERATURE

- Dubois, M., K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebers, and F. Smith. 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28:350-356.
- Fransen, S. and T. Hudson. 2005. Timothy Hay. WSU Extension Bulletin EM4919E. pgs. 3.
- Spedding, C.R.W. and E.C. Diekmahns. 1972. Timothy. In: *Grasses and Legumes in British Agriculture*. CAB Bulletin 49, pgs. 199-214.
- Smith, D. 1975. Timothy. In: *Forage Management*. Kendall/Hunt Pub. pgs. 175-180.